

Questions and Answers for ESP-RFP-2026-051

Service Provider for Site Assessment for the Department of Energy – Energy Center Next-Generation Mobile Energy System

- 1. Confirm two standalone reports or one consolidated report with separate Main and Annex sections. - Attachment A, pp.3-4 Deliverable Structure**
Two deliverables are explicitly listed: DOE Main Site Assessment Report and DOE Annex Building Site Assessment Report.

- 2. Provide existing MES as-builts, ratings, control logic, maintenance history, and battery state-of-health. - Attachment A, p.3 Existing MES Data**

Not specified. The documents mention the existing MES and its transfer but do not state what records will be provided.

- 3. Does scope include decommissioning, lifting, transport, reinstallation, commissioning, and outage planning? - Attachment A, p.3 Relocation Scope**

The assessment covers feasibility of transferring the current MES, but execution activities such as decommissioning and installation are **not explicitly included**.

- 4. Will DOE provide SLDs, panel schedules, interval loads, and generator/UPS/ATS data for both buildings? - Attachment A, pp.3-4 Electrical Data**

Not specified. Existing power supply, generation capacity, and load profiles are to be assessed.

- 5. Confirm load boundaries, including HVAC, ICT, security, life-safety, and auxiliary loads. - Attachment A, p.3 Critical Loads**

Not specifically defined. The SOW emphasizes ESEOC, Office of the Secretary, and other critical loads requiring 24/7 connectivity.

The service provider is tasked to identify and determine critical loads.

6. Should the assessment cover grid-connected operation, standalone operation during outages, and parallel operation with the existing gensets and UPS systems? Attachment A, pp.2-4 Operating Modes

Not specified. The new MES is intended to provide backup power and resilience but no required operating mode definition is given.

7. Specify required network, remote-diagnostics, cybersecurity standards, and communication protocols. Attachment A, pp.2-3 ICT and Cybersecurity

The next-generation MES is expected to incorporate real-time monitoring, remote diagnostics, and cybersecurity features, but no standards or protocols are specified. The service provider is responsible for determining all requirements to meet project objectives.

8. Include structural, foundation, civil, cable-routing, and interconnection assessment for the transferred MES? Attachment A, pp.3-4 Annex Site Works

Not explicitly stated, but deployment feasibility and site assessments are part of scope.

9. Assess solar shading and usable roof or ground area at both sites? Attachment A, p.4 Renewable Resource

Renewable energy resource assessment is required, but detailed solar studies are not specifically mandated.

10. Can the current MES remain online during assessment, and are intrusive tests permitted? Attachment A, p.3 Operational Testing

Not specified. Please refer to RFP for specific activities for the site assessment.

11. Require separate CAPEX, OPEX, and life-cycle analyses for the new MES and MES transfer? Attachment A, pp.3-4 Economic Analysis

Technical, economic, and operational feasibility are required, but specific economic-analysis deliverables are not identified.

Proponent may present a methodology to distinguish itself from other bidders.

12. Include concept SLDs, layouts, equipment schedules, BOQ, implementation cost, and phasing? Attachment A, p.4 Design Outputs

The RFP does not explicitly require concept SLDs, layouts, BOQs, or cost estimates. However, as stated in the RFP, the activity requires comprehensive site assessments to evaluate the feasibility of deploying the next-generation MES.

Proponent may present what shall be covered in its deployment strategy to distinguish itself from other bidders.

13. Will DOE/RTI coordinate PNOC V permits, security access, and allowable work windows? Attachment A, pp.3-4 Site Coordination

Proponent may present a detailed workplan to address this, and to distinguish itself from other bidders.

14. Confirm required site visits, workshops, review cycles, and milestones within the four-month term. Cover / Attachment A Program

No detailed schedule or milestone plan is provided in the available documents. Proponent may present a detailed schedule or milestone plan to distinguish itself from other bidders.

15. Should the pricing table also be included in the proposal submission, or is completing the embedded table in the RFP sufficient?

Both will suffice.

16. Should proposals be quoted in Philippine Peso or in USD?

Either currency will do.

17. For Geocode 937 compliance, is there a specific certification template required?

There is no certification template needed. The country where the business is registered needs to be a GeoCode 937 compliant.

18. For proof of legal registration, in accordance with Geocode 937 compliance, should this be registration in the U.S., or will Philippine legal registration such as SEC, BIR, or business permit be accepted?

Both US or Philippine legal registration will comply.

19. Should the list of previous clients include only energy-related projects, or can it cover broader consulting engagements?

May be provided both.

20. Is there a specific proposal template/structure that RTI requires bidders to use or to follow?

None. But don't forget to accomplish and sign pages 5 and 10

21. Should all required administrative documents be attached within the proposal package, or should they be submitted separately?

May be submitted within the proposal package or separately

22. Should the technical and commercial proposals be submitted as separate files?

May be submitted as one whole file.